Cumbria LGBT Alliance & OutREACH Cumbria

| Question | Agree | Response |
|---|---------------------|--|
| 1 – Geology | Yes | All aspects have been considered and that far more in depth research needs to be carried out, to confirm suitability. Public concerns such as fault lines – poor quality subterranean rock. |
| 2 – Safety, security, environment and planning | Yes | The principles of underground storage is safer than surface. Being below ground – there is a security in the fact it is "out of reach" – potential threats. Negative impact on surface – i.e. areas of natural beauty. |
| 3 - Impacts | Yes | The effect of building and construction will impact on areas in the county. – dust and noise – depending on the site. We feel that the process so far has tried to identify the negative impact – whilst promoting positive benefits. New railway and roads? Potential economic investment. |
| 4 – Community benefits | Not Sure/ Partly | The benefits are for ALL Cumbrians, not just the West. Investment in social housing. Money to support community groups. Economic infrastructure. Hospitals and Air Ambulance. |
| 5 - Design and engineering | Yes | The design as shown Flexibility to add to the amount Retrieval – income Future scientific knowledge may change the picture of nuclear waste. |
| 6 - Inventory | Yes | If we take waste from outside UK then we benefit financially and environmentally from taking this waste. The flexibility of being able to take in waste and possibly generate further employment – surface processing of nuclear waste. |
| 7 – Siting process | Yes | Desk-based studies – what does it mean? How will the public continue to be involved – at what stages and what information will be publicly available? |
| 8 – Overall views on participation | | If they hope to benefit in the longer term – then it makes sense that they participate in the 'search' process, |
| | | |